

### TUESDAY 20 JUNE 2017 AT 7.30 PM

### **Conference Room 2 - The Forum**

The Councillors listed below are requested to attend the above meeting, on the day and at the time and place stated, to consider the business set out in this agenda.

Membership

Councillor G Adshead Councillor Anderson (Chairman) Councillor E Collins Councillor Fisher Councillor S Hearn Councillor Hicks Councillor Howard (Vice-Chairman) Councillor Matthews Councillor Ransley Councillor Riddick Councillor Timmis Councillor C Wyatt-Lowe

Substitute Members:

Councillors Bateman, Birnie, England, Link, McLean, Ritchie, R Sutton and Tindall

For further information, please contact Katie Mogan or Member Support

### AGENDA

#### 8. PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND REGENERATION Q4 PERFORMANCE REPORT (Pages 2 - 20)

## Agenda Item 8



### **AGENDA ITEM:**

### SUMMARY

Report for:	Strategic Planning and Environment Overview & Scrutiny Committee
Date of meeting:	20 June 2017
PART:	1
If Part II, reason:	

Title of report:	Quarter 4 and End of Year 2016/17 Performance Report – Planning, Development and Regeneration
Contact:	Cllr Graham Sutton, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration
	James Doe, Assistant Director – Planning, Development and Regeneration
Purpose of report:	To report on service performance for the end of year and fourth quarter of 2016/17, and to provide an update on the Operational Risk Register.
Recommendations	That the report be noted.
Corporate objectives:	The report focuses on the service plan for the area and key performance indicators. All corporate objectives are therefore relevant.
Implications:	Financial
	None arising directly from this report.
Value For Money	Value for Money
Implications'	None arising directly from this report.
Risk Implications	Risk Assessment completed as part of the service plan.
Equalities Implications	None arising from this report.

Health And Safety Implications	None arising from this report.
Consultees:	Cllr Graham Sutton, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Regeneration.
	Mark Gaynor, Corporate Director for Housing and Regeneration
	Andrew Horner, Group Manager for Development Management and Planning
	Chris Taylor, Group Manager for Strategic Planning and Regeneration
Background papers:	Planning and Regeneration Service Plan 2016-17 Performance information held on the CorVu system.

#### Introduction

- **1.** The report provides the end of year position for 2016/17, with a report on performance for Q4.
- 2. In summary, performance across the services has been generally strong albeit with some exceptions which are outlined in the report. The main concern over the year was whether the service's three main income streams, planning, building control and local land charges fees would be achieved in the wake of market uncertainty in summer 2016. Income has however held up with the exception of a slight under-recovery in land charges.

#### Performance Indicators

- 3. <u>Building Control</u>. The service has continued to perform strongly over the year. The key indicator, applications determined within two months of receipt (BC01), ended the year at 99.69%, just below the target of 100%.
- 4. Income from the Building Control service was on target at just over £544,000 (FIN15). This is a good outcome for the Council. Building Control is a competitive service where customers are able to use independent Approved Inspectors to discharge consent under the Building Regulations, so there is always the threat that business could be lost and the Council's income suffer.
- 5. DBC has approximately 75% of the market by volume and this has held steady despite the operation of Approved Inspectors. Members may be aware that seven other Hertfordshire Authorities have formed a consortium which is now operational as Hertfordshire Building Control which in time will operate an Approved Inspector service which can trade outside of the respective Boroughs and Districts that make it up.
- 6. A key pressure for the service has been the rising cost of professional staff. For the past two years, the service has had to engage agency staff to maintain the service – itself a statutory requirement – and to maintain income levels. Recruitment for vacant permanent posts has not been successful. The

service has however taken on three new trainees with the intention of growing talent in-house and reducing the reliance on agency staff.

- 7. As part of the budget setting and savings process, the Council raised its Building Control fees by an average of 10% on 6 April 2017. Although the service is now more expensive, it remains competitive against the charges levied by other Hertfordshire authorities.
- 8. <u>Development Management</u>. Workload (DMP02) remains high within the service. Although there were slightly planning and related applications were received in 2016/17 than the previous year (2429 versus 2559), the number of major planning applications rose from 26 in 15/16 to 34 in 16/17. This trend is likely to continue as proposals from local housing allocations as set out in the Borough's Core Strategy and Site Allocations document begin to be built out proposals for land at West Hemel Hempstead (LA3) and Marchmont Farm (LA1) are expected to be submitted for determination in 2017/18.
- 9. Planning fee income (FIN16) ended up at £843,031, in excess of the target of £812,710. This is a good outcome considering there was a pause in some market activity in the summer of 2016 and, had the trend continued, there would have been a risk of under-recovery against budget. Members should note that the budget target for planning fee income was raised by over £70,000 per annum for the past year.
- 10. In 2015/16, there was a significant improvement in processing times for planning applications (DMP04, 05 and 06); this trend has continued.
- 11. For major planning applications, the target of 60% of applications determined in 13 weeks was exceeded comfortably at 88.24% (up from 83.33%).
- 12. Just over 90% of Minor applications were approved on time well ahead of the 65% target and up from just over 65% last year.
- 13. The 'other' category of applications the bulk of the service's workload finished the year at 89.29% and up from the 77.39% achieved in 2015/16.
- 14. The refusal rate for planning applications continues to remain low, with only 5.37% of applications refused, well ahead of the 12% target (DMP07). This means that nearly 95% of applications were approved a measure which helps to support the development process, saves the Council time and money, and is good for the Council's reputation as open for business. It is hopefully an indication that the growing pre-application advice service is working, to help shape acceptable proposals and deter those which have no or little realistic chance of being approved, being submitted.-
- 15. The planning appeal success rate ended at just under 70% (DMP30), meaning 30% of appeals were allowed by planning inspectors. There was a similar appeal allowed rate of 32.5% in 2015/16, whereas this was just under 17% in 2014/15. As remarked in this report to the Committee last year, his may reflect growing confidence in the development industry to challenge Local Authorities' decisions as the local economy continues to perform well. Members need to note that additional appeals will place further burdens on the resources of the service. This factor is however mitigated by proactive work carried out at the pre-application stage which helps to limit problems

during the processing phase of applications, and limit the need to refuse proposals.

- 16. The one exception to performance in development management in 2016/17 was in the time taken to validate planning applications (DMP08). Against a target of validating 70% of applications within 3 days, the year ended on 53%. The service suffered from some long term staff sickness, and the backlog of cases is now starting to clear. Importantly, slower validation of applications has not affected they key turnaround times (DMP04, 05, 06) which have all improved.
- 17. <u>Planning Enforcement</u>. Performance has remained high. The Council's approved Local Enforcement Plan sets out priorities for investigations into three categories. The final figures for Priority 1 cases to be visited within 1 working day (PE01) are awaited; Priority 2 and 3 cases came in at 88.5% and 95.7% for the target visiting times of 10 and 15 working days respectively (PE02 and PE03). Quarter 4 performance was at 100% for priority 1 cases and over 96% for both priority 2 and 3 cases.
- 18. <u>Land Charges</u>. Performance has improved again to finish at an average processing time of 5.66 days to process property searches against the target of ten day. This is an improvement on the 2015/16 result of 8.16 days. Income was a little below target at £246,232 received in search fees (FIN15).
- 19. <u>Strategic Planning and Regeneration</u>. There was positive progress on business development with a net growth of 205 businesses registered for VAT (SPR03). 6 new apprenticeships were created in the Borough.
- 20. 2016/17 was a good year for house building in the Borough, with 523 new homes completed of all tenures. This compare s well to the annual core strategy target of 430 new homes per annum.

#### **Operational Risk Register**

21. The risk register is at appendix 2. Having been reviewed recently, this remains unchanged from the last report to the Committee. Questions on the register are invited at the meeting.

#### Dacorum Development Programme - projects led by the Service

- 22. In previous years an update on key projects under the Dacorum Development Programme – such as the Marlowes Shopping Zone – was provided. Much of the Hemel Evolution programme of town centre projects is now complete, with the Water Gardens coming to an end at the present time.
- 23. There are a further range of projects underway or in progress, such as the Bury Museum project, Maylands Business Centre Extension, Hemel town centre access and movement. These will feature on a separate report on the progress of the Dacorum Development Programme to the Committee later in the year.

## SPE OSC QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT

### Planning, Development and Regeneration

### March 2017



Measure	Owner & Updater	Mar 2017 Result	Dec 2016 Result	Mar 2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments
BC01 - Percentage of Building Control Applications determined within 2 months	Andrew Horner Andrew Howard	98.4% (123/125) Target: 100	100% (177/177) Target: 100	100% (164/164) Target: 100	~	<b>Updater</b> Figures show 2 applications missing target. However Application/s in question withdrawn. Therefore target actually 100%. So target met.
Ψ						<b>Owner</b> Noted
Der 02 - Number of planning applications received	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	520 Applications Info Only	537 Applications Info Only	645 Applications Info Only	5 🗙	
DMP04 - Percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks (YTD)	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	100% (9/9) Target: 60	100% (5/5) Target: 60	83.33% (5/6) Target: 60	~	<b>Updater</b> 100% for majors. 2 of the 9 were subject to an agreed extension of time.
DMP05 - Percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	90.54% (67/74) Target: 65	85% (68/80) Target: 65	82.89% (63/76) Target: 65	~	Owner
DMP06 - Percentage of other applications determined within 8 weeks	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	89.96% (242/269) Target: 80	89.31% (259/290) Target: 80	86.5% (237/274) Target: 80	~	<b>Updater</b> Good outcome for the quarter. Well above target.
DMP07 - Percentage of planning applications refused	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	4.83% (17/352) Target: 10	6.8% (33/485) Target: 10	6.5% (26/400) Target: 10	V	<b>Updater</b> Well within target.



Report run: 09/06/2017

Measure	Owner & Updater	Mar 2017 Result	Dec 2016 Result	Mar 2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments
DMP08 - Percentage of planning applications validated within 3 working days	Andrew Horner Jason Seed	39% (224/577) Target: 70	48% (303/632) Target: 70	74% (597/806) Target: 70	~	Owner
DMP30 - Appeals dismissed	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	71.43% (5/7) Target: 0.7	70% (7/10) Target: 0.7	No Data Target: 0.7	×	
FIN15 - Building Control Income ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£544664 Target: 544040	£412873 Target: 405783	£573322 Target: 544000	~	<b>Updater</b> In line with target
FIN16 - Planning Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£843031 Target: 812710	£519382 Target: 609533	£953897 Target: 740000	~	<b>Updater</b> The year end position is a surplus of £30k due to some larger applications in the month of March
FIN17 - Search Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£246232 Target: 280000	£181091 Target: 210000	£284422 Target: 280000	~	<b>Updater</b> Final position is a deficit of £34k (12%)
LCA - Average time taken to process ar Official Local Land Charges search	Andrew Horner Ann Stowe	6.25 Days Target: 10	5.81 Days Target: 10	4.82 Days Target: 10	~	<b>Updater</b> The increase in turnaround reflects the increased number of searches in March. However we are still under target for the quarter. <b>Owner</b>
PE01 - Percentage of priority 1 enforcement cases visited within 1 working day	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	100% (4/4) No Target	100% (6/6) Target: 0	100% (6/6) Target: 100	×	
PE02 - Percentage of priority 2 enforcement cases visited within 10 working days	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	96.1% (73/76) No Target	59% (36/61) Target: 0	90.3% (56/62) Target: 100	×	
PE03 - Percentage of priority 3 enforcement cases visited within 15 working days	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	98.2% (56/57) No Target	80.9% (38/47) Target: 0	100% (60/60) Target: 100	×	



Report run: 09/06/2017

Measure	Owner & Updater	Mar 2017 Result	Dec 2016 Result	Mar 2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments
SPR05 - Number of new homes completed	Chris Taylor Francis Whittaker	92 Homes Info Only	156 Homes Info Only	128 Homes Info Only	~	<b>Owner</b> reported monthly - annual figure - see end of year report
SPR20 - Level of CIL receipts	Chris Taylor Robert Freeman	No Data Info Only	No Data Info Only	No Data Info Only	•	<b>Updater</b> A total of £143,477.17 is scheduled to be collected in Q4 (1st Jan 17-31st March 17) This has been boosted recently by the issue of a number of demands following information from Town and Parish Councils. The Borough is still in receipt of a limited number of commencmenet notices and this is of concern.
Page 8						As of the end of Feb 17 the Council had secured £346,844.19. A further £79,776.55 is due in March. Debts of £189,036.23 were identified but we are confident that £143,275.39 (Shrublands) of which should be received this financial year.
						The Council will hold circa £508,012.39 for its own use in delivering infrastructure and upon which to encourage CIL bids.

🕈 Monitoring 🦿 Information

# SPE OSC YEARLY PERFORMANCE

## <u>REPORT</u>

### Planning, Development and Regeneration

March 2017

Measure	Owner & Updater	2017 Result	Quarter 4 Result	2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments
BC01 - Percentage of Building Control Applications determined within 2 months	Andrew Horner Andrew Howard	99.69% (643/645) Target: 100	98.4% (123/125) Target: 100	100% (691/691) Target: 100	×	
DMP02 - Number of planning applications received	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	2429 Applications Info Only	520 Applications Info Only	2559 Applications Info Only	×	
DIN 04 - Percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks (YTD)	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	88.24% (30/34) Target: 60	100% (9/9) Target: 60	84.62% (22/26) Target: 60	V	Owner
DMP05 - Percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	84.79% (262/309) Target: 65	90.54% (67/74) Target: 65	65.48% (220/336) Target: 65	~	Owner
DMP06 - Percentage of other applications determined within 8 weeks	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	89.29% (1067/1195) Target: 80	89.96% (242/269) Target: 80	77.39% (897/1159) Target: 80	~	<b>Owner</b> Good outcome for the year. Well above target.
DMP07 - Percentage of planning applications refused	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	5.37% (94/1750) Target: 10	4.83% (17/352) Target: 10	7.67% (121/1577) Target: 10	~	<b>Updater</b> Well within target.
DMP08 - Percentage of planning applications validated within 3 working days	Andrew Horner Jason Seed	53% (1367/2585) Target: 70	39% (224/577) Target: 70	72% (2319/3204) Target: 70	~	<b>Owner</b> Noted. Measures to reduce backlog are being explored. Under performance here has not affected performance on planning application targets.



Measure	Owner & Updater	2017 Result	Quarter 4 Result	2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments
DMP30 - Appeals dismissed	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	69.23% (27/39) Target: 0.7	71.43% (5/7) Target: 0.7	No Data Target: 0.7	×	
FIN15 - Building Control Income ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£544664 Target: 544040	£544664 Target: 544040	£573322 Target: 544000	V	<b>Updater</b> In line with target
FIN16 - Planning Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£843031 Target: 812710	£843031 Target: 812710	£953897 Target: 740000	~	<b>Updater</b> The year end position is a surplus of £30k due to some larger applications in the month of March
FIN17 - Search Fees ytd actual against profiled budget	James Doe Caroline Souto	£246232 Target: 280000	£246232 Target: 280000	£284422 Target: 280000	V	<b>Updater</b> Final position is a deficit of £34k (12%)
LC04 - Average time taken to process an Official Local Land Charges search	Andrew Horner Ann Stowe	5.66 Days Target: 10	6.25 Days Target: 10	8.16 Days Target: 10	V	<b>Updater</b> The reduction in turnaround time reflects the lower number of searches for this year.
PEON - Percentage of priority 1 erforcement cases visited within 1 working day	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	2205.88% (375/17) No Target	100% (4/4) No Target	1428.57% (400/28) Target: 100	×	
PE02 - Percentage of priority 2 enforcement cases visited within 10 working days	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	88.5% (238/269) No Target	96.1% (73/76) No Target	92.9% (234/252) Target: 100	×	
PE03 - Percentage of priority 3 enforcement cases visited within 15 working days	Andrew Horner Fiona Bogle	95.7% (224/234) No Target	98.2% (56/57) No Target	97.9% (238/243) Target: 100	×	
SPR03 - Net business registrations for VAT	Chris Taylor Rebecca Oblein	205 Businesses No Target	No Data Target: 0	460 Businesses Target: 50	V	<b>Updater</b> 1085 registrations 880 deregistrations
SPR04 - Apprentices placed in Dacorum businesses per year	Chris Taylor Rebecca Oblein	6 No Target	No Data Target: 0	14 Target: 40	~	<b>Owner</b> THis project has ended and the PI needs removal

Measure	Owner & Updater	2017 Result	Quarter 4 Result	2016 Result	Sign Off	Comments
SPR05 - Number of new homes completed	Chris Taylor Francis Whittaker	523 Homes No Target	92 Homes Target: 0	423 Homes Target: 0	~	Updater
SPR20 - Level of CIL receipts	Chris Taylor Robert Freeman	No Data Info Only	No Data Info Only	No Data Info Only	•	<b>Updater</b> This is the first year reporting on CIL receipts via Corvu and the first complete year of collection for the Borough as Charging Authority. The Borough Council has received a total of £498,673.38 in CIL receipts over the financial year 16/17. This is broken down as follows: Administration (5%)
Page 11						£24,933.67 Neighbourhood CIL (15%) £72,333.68 Regulation 123 (80%) £401,406.03 These figures are provisional and need to be reconciled with financial data.
						This is a significant increase in receipts from 15/16 from which some £52,095.49 remains for allocation to core infrastructure projects.

💎 Monitoring 🛛 🚩 Information

Report run: 09/06/2017

December 2016



### Planning Development & Regeneration - James Doe

PDR\_F01 Market fails to bring forward because of continuing economic uncertainty

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder: Tolerance:			
Financial	Regeneration		James Doe	Graham Sutton	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
<b>D</b> 3	4	12	3	2	6		
a Likely	Severe	Red	Likely	Medium	Amber		
• Conseq	uences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance		
N <b>bo</b> ds of the community in t local services will not be met	t.	<ul> <li>-Enterprise Zone for Maylandoperational by April 2017</li> <li>- Developments coming forwisite (out of town retail) and commercial floorspace)</li> <li>- Economic Development Striprocess planned in</li> <li>- Dacorum Development Prodedicated team and budget</li> <li>- Participation in county-wid</li> <li>- Corporate actions; developmanaged through Corporate</li> <li>- Role of CRG updated into a Infrastructure Board</li> <li>- Submission made to the LE fund for a range of projects for a commercial for a</li></ul>	vard at the Maylands Aviva Prologis/Aviva (new rategy in place with review ogramme in place with e initiatives and Partnership ments monitored and e Regeneration Group; new Growth and P's bid for Growth Deal 3 to boost the economy;	t-document-library/ed-strat sfvrsn=0 Dacorum Development Prog http://www.dacorum.gov.u	nofurther.co.uk/docs/defaul egy-brochure-web-pdf.pdf? gramme at k/docs/default- dpjanuary2013.pdf?sfvrsn=0 erally at k/home/regeneration n at		

December 2016



http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/councildemocracy/meetings-minutes-andagendas/events/2014/10/21/cabinet/cabinet

Sign Off Complete

### PDR\_F02 External funding sources are reduced or disappear

Category: F®ancial	Corporate Priority: Regeneration		<b>Risk Owner:</b> James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Treating
<sup>O</sup> Inherent Probab	ility Inherent Impac	t Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
ີຟີ 3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	4 Severe	8 Amber
	Consequences	Current	: Controls	Assu	rance
Key projects fail to c	ome forward.	ensure timely spend to avoi Ongoing liaison with Herts L proposals, with submission programme in 2016	in seeking new funds and to d clawback of grant funding. EP on external funding made to the Growth Deal 3 hviro-Tech Enterprise Zone at ecure funding for major ts	Corvu project updates Cabinet reports on Water Gardens project Cabinet reports on Maylands Urban Realm Proje Bid submission to Herts LEP	

Sign Off and Comments

December 2016



		Management of cases throu Programme Board. Sign Off and	gh Dacorum Regeneration						
Sign Off Complete									
PDR_F03 Key income streams do not meet planning fees, building regulations and local land charges income budgets									
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:				
 Fi₄‡ancial	Regeneration		James Doe	Graham Sutton	Treating				
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score				
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	3 Likely	3 High	9 Amber				
Conseq	luences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance				
Unable to meet government and local targets. - Bad press - Shortfall on budget and potential staff cuts/service reduction - possibility of Govt intervention in the planning service if performance declines as a resulyMonthly monitoring of development levels and income with Accountancy at GM and AD levelBuilding Control fees are to be increased from 1 April 2017									
		Sign Off and	d Comments						
Sign Off Complete									

December 2016



Income from Planning fees now unlikely to meet the increased income target set in the 16/17 budget. impact has been mitigated by the later than expected arrival of major planning applications

PDR_101 Failure to deliver on the Regeneration and Sustainability Agenda by Insufficent Capac					
Category: Infrastructure	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Infrastructure	Regeneration		James Doe	Graham Sutton	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3	4	12	2	3	6
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	High	Amber
P Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance	
Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over - SPJ budget Foi CT - Pro-		<ul> <li>SPAR team fully in place</li> <li>Formation of corporate reg brought in further support a</li> <li>Projects monitored throug Programme Board and Stee</li> </ul>	nd capacity h Dacorum Regeneration	project management arrang http://www.dacorum.gov.u	oup and Dacorum Board. 3 regarding Hemel Evolution gements k/docs/default- cabinet13-12-17hemel- ort-jd-comments.pdf? ite for the Marlowes
Sign Off and Comments					
Sign Off Complete					

December 2016



Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Infrastructure	Regeneration		James Doe	Graham Sutton	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3 Likely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance
berget. OP			d. See Dec 2013 Cabinet report for Hemel project management arrangements http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/defa source/council-democracy/cabinet13 evolution-reportfinal-report-jd-comm sfvrsn=0		ements k/docs/default- cabinet13-12-17heme
Sign Off and Comments					
Sign Off Complete					

-						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Infrastructure	Regeneration		James Doe	Graham Sutton	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3	4	12	3	3	9	
Likely	Severe	Red	Likely	High	Amber	
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance		

### December 2016



Regeneration projects fail, are delayed or go over budget.	Regular engagement with key partners and stakeholders through direct project management and through Dacorum Regeneration Programme Board.	HH Town Centre Masterplan at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/regeneration/heme I-evolution/hemel-hempstead-masterplan		
	Infrastructure delivery plan in place and plans to engage key providers to address needs of development growth within Dacorum	Water Gardens funding report to Cabinet at http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/home/council- democracy/meetings-minutes-and- agendas/events/2014/07/22/cabinet/cabinet		
	new Two Waters masterplan in draft and engaging key partners			
□ Sign Off and Comments				

Stop Off Complete Residual risk rating raised to reflect increased delivery of regeneration and development in the Borough by the private sector and therefore with less direct control. Challenge to match the needs generated by new developments with necessary infrastructure improvements.

### PDR\_I04 Failure of Business Continuity Plan to keep critical and key services running

Category: Infrastructure			<b>Risk Owner:</b> James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Tolerating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber	1 Very Unlikely	3 High	3 Green
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance	
<ul> <li>harm to Council's reputation</li> <li>duty to meet legal requirements is impaired</li> </ul>		Actions in Corporate Busines Prioritisation of key service i other failure.		Corporate Business Continu	ity Plan

December 2016



Sign Off and Comments						
Sign Off Complete						
PDR_105 Workforce Planning fails to prevent service failure						
Category: Infrastructure	<b>Corporate Priority:</b> Dacorum Delivers		<b>Risk Owner:</b> James Doe	Portfolio Holder: Graham Sutton	Tolerance: Treating	
<b>Unherent Probability</b>	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
age 3 Eikely	4 Severe	12 Red	2 Unlikely	4 Severe	8 Amber	
Consequences Current Controls Assurance				rance		
Service cannot be delivered effectively if staffing levels are reduced Timely filling of posts and rearrangement of responsibilities where appropriate when staff leave Review of need for trainees to be developed in house to deal with recruitment and retention issues caused by a strong professional jobs market in 2015.						
Sign Off and Comments						
Sign Off Complete						

Growing difficulty in retaining and recruiting Building Control staff, yet recent recruitment in early 2017 has secured 3 trainee appointments.

December 2016



<b>Category:</b>	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers		James Doe	Graham Sutton	Tolerating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3	4	12	2	3	6
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	High	Amber
Consequences Council is left without and up to date development pen and unable to resist inappropriate new developments (eg in the Green belt) and unable to plan effectively for future growth and development		<ul> <li>Core Strategy adopted Sep and milestone achieved, to a achievable</li> <li>Project management and r against the Local Development</li> <li>Site Allocations DPD nearing</li> <li>New Local Development Soc December 2016 to cover proc Dacorum Local Plan by 2019</li> </ul>	make the rest of the process monitoring of progress ent Scheme ng adoption (summer 2017) cheme approved by DBC in oduction of the new	Core Strategy published on http://www.dacorum.gov.u development/planning-stra planning-framework/core	uk/home/planning- ategic-planning/local- strategy k/docs/default- /core-strategy-adoption =0 abinet on 17 Sep at k/docs/default- /core-strategy-adoption strategy-legal-challenge-(5

December 2016



	and	urce/council-democracy/annual-monitoring-report- d-lpfreport-(187-kb).pdf?sfvrsn=0 cal Development Scheme at
	SOL	p://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default- urce/strategic-planning/lds-2014-final-version.pdf? rsn=0
п		her cabinet reports on Local Planning Framework ogress
Pac	Sign Off and Comments	
Si Off Complete		

Redual risk rating raised as the new Local Plan gets underway. Potential for delays are quite high with introduction of new evidence throughout the process (eg new housing data) and reliance on the Planning Inspectorate to set up Examinations to align to the timescale.